STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

ı	N	RE	
ı	IN		

Seven Springs Associates)
Map160-00-0, Parcel 244.00) Davidson County
Commercial Property)
Tax Years 2005 & 2006)

INITIAL DECISION AND ORDER

Statement of the Case

The subject property is presently valued for tax years 2005 and 2006 as follows:

 LAND VALUE
 IMPROVEMENT VALUE
 TOTAL VALUE
 ASSESSMENT

 \$2,366,200
 \$0
 \$2,366,200
 \$946,480

Appeals were filed on behalf of the property owner with the State Board of Equalization on September 22, 2005 and September 11, 2006, respectively.

These matters were reviewed by the undersigned administrative law judge pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated (T.C.A.) §§ 67-5-1412, 67-5-1501 and 67-5-1505. This hearing was conducted on November 8, 2006, at the Division of Property Assessments Office. Present at the hearing were Mr. Jay Catignani of Property Tax Consultants, the taxpayer's representative and Mr. Dennis Donovan, Division of Assessments for the Metro. Property Assessor.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Subject property consists of commercial/vacant land (total land is 7.76 acres) located at 300 Seven Springs Way, in Nashville, Tennessee.

The taxpayer's representative contends that the property is worth \$1,875,000. The county representative testified and presented proof that the value is \$2,250,000 based upon the income approach to value.

After having reviewed all the evidence in this case, the administrative judge finds that the subject property should be valued at \$2, 250,000.

The germane issues are the value of the property as of January 1, 2005 and January 1, 2006. The basis of valuation as stated in T.C.A.§ 67-5-601(a) is that "[t]he value of all property shall be ascertained from the evidence of its sound, intrinsic and immediate value, for purposes of sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer without consideration of speculative values"

Since the taxpayer is appealing from the determination of the Davidson County Board of Equalization, the burden of proof is on the taxpayer. See State Board of Equalization Rule 0600-1-.11(1) and *Big Fork Mining Company v. Tennessee Water Control Board*, 620 S.W. 2d 515 (Tenn.App.).

In this type of an appeal the petitioner must show by a preponderance of the evidence that an allegation is true or that the issue should be resolved in favor of that party. Uniform Rules of Procedure for Hearing Contested Cases. Rule 1360-4-1-.02 (7).

General appraisal principles require that the market, cost and income approaches to value be used whenever possible. Appraisal Institute, *The Appraisal of Real Estate* at 50 and 62. (12th ed. 2001). There are typically three (3) approaches to estimate the fair market value of property: the sales comparison approach, the cost approach and the income approach¹.

With respect to the issue of market value, the administrative judge finds that Mr. Catignani failed to introduce sufficient evidence to affirmatively establish the market value of subject property as of January 1, 2005, and January 1, 2006, the relevant assessment date pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-504(a).

ORDER

It is therefore ORDERED that the following value and assessment be adopted for tax years 2005 and 2006 as follows:

LAND VALUE	IMPROVEMENT VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	<u>ASSESSMENT</u>
\$2,250,000	\$ -0-	\$2,250,000	\$900,000

It is FURTHER ORDERED that any applicable hearing costs be assessed pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-1501(d) and State Board of Equalization Rule 0600-1-.17.

Pursuant to the Uniform Administrative Procedures Act, Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 4-5-301—325, Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-1501, and the Rules of Contested Case Procedure of the State Board of Equalization, the parties are advised of the following remedies:

1. A party may appeal this decision and order to the Assessment Appeals Commission pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-1501 and Rule 0600-1-.12 of the Contested Case Procedures of the State Board of Equalization. Tennessee Code Annotated § 67-5-1501(c) provides that an appeal "must be filed within thirty (30) days from the date the initial decision is sent." Rule 0600-1-.12 of the Contested Case Procedures of the State Board of Equalization provides that the appeal be filed with the Executive Secretary of the State Board and that the appeal "identify the allegedly erroneous finding(s) of fact and/or conclusion(s) of law in the initial order"; or

¹The income approach is used for properties that generate or could generate cash flow to the owner. *A Business Enterprise Value Anthology*, David C. Lennhoff, MAI, CRE, Editor, © 2001

- 2. A party may petition for reconsideration of this decision and order pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-317 within fifteen (15) days of the entry of the order. The petition for reconsideration must state the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The filing of a petition for reconsideration is not a prerequisite for seeking administrative or judicial review; or
- A party may petition for a stay of effectiveness of this decision and order pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-316 within seven (7) days of the entry of the order.

This order does not become final until an official certificate is issued by the Assessment Appeals Commission. Official certificates are normally issued seventy-five (75) days after the entry of the initial decision and order if no party has appealed.

ENTERED this 15th day of December, 2006.

ANDREI ELLEN LEE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

c: Mr. J. Catignani Jo Ann North, Property Assessor